



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/770,897  | 02/02/2004  | David J. Danitz      | PAT-1337-CIP3CON    | 1109             |
| 7590  | 02/23/2006  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| Raymond Sun<br>Law Offices of Raymond Sun<br>12420 Woodhall Way<br>Tustin, CA 92782 |             |                      | NGUYEN, TUAN VAN    |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 3731                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                            |                  |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | Application No.            | Applicant(s)     |
|                          | 10/770,897                 | DANITZ ET AL.    |
|                          | Examiner<br>Tuan V. Nguyen | Art Unit<br>3731 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan V. Nguyen. (3) Raymond Sun.

(2) Michael Thaler. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02/09/06 (2)

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Morejohn and Malecki references.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Morejohn (U.S. 6,146,394) and Malecki (U.S. 5,626,607) references were discussed with respect to claim 1. Maslanka (U.S. 4,467,802) reference was discussed with respect to claim 13.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



ANHTUAN T. NGUYEN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required